



Submitted to: Karas Lithium Resources (Pty) Ltd
Attention: Mr William Morrell

Private bag 12012 Ausspannplatz Windhoek Namibia

ADDENDUM REPORT:

I&AP COMMENTS AND RESPONSES ON DRAFT SCOPING REPORT PLUS IMPACT ASSESSMENT FOR EXPLORATION ACTIVITIES ON EPL 7574

PROJECT NUMBER: ECC-139-449-REP-18-D

REPORT VERSION: REV 01

DATE: 8 AUGUST 2023





Karas Lithium Resources (Pty) Ltd

TITLE AND APPROVAL PAGE

Project Name: I&AP Comments and Responses on draft scoping report plus

impact assessment for exploration activities on EPL 7574

Client Company Name: Karas Lithium Resources (Pty) Ltd

Client Representatives: Mr William Morrell

Ministry Reference: APP-00785

Authors: Monique Jarrett and Jessica Bezuidenhout

Status of Report: Final for Government submission

Project Number: ECC-139-449-REP-18-D

Date of issue: 8 August 2023

Review Period NA

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE CONSULTANCY CONTACT DETAILS:

We welcome any enquiries regarding this document and its content. Please contact:



Environmental Compliance Consultancy PO Box 91193, Klein Windhoek, Namibia

Tel: +264 81 669 7608

Email: info@eccenvironmental.com

DISCLAIMER

The report has been prepared by Environmental Compliance Consultancy (Pty) Ltd (ECC) (Reg. No. 2022/0593) on behalf of the Proponent. Authored by ECC employees with no material interest in the report's outcome, ECC maintains independence from the Proponent and has no financial interest in the Project apart from fair remuneration for professional fees. Payment of fees is not contingent on the report's results or any government decision. ECC members or employees are not, and do not intend to be, employed by the Proponent, nor do they hold any shareholding in the Project. Personal views expressed by the writer may not reflect ECC or its client's views. The environmental report's information is based on the best available data and professional judgment at the time of writing. However, please note that environmental conditions can change rapidly, and the accuracy, completeness, or currency of the information cannot be guaranteed.



Karas Lithium Resources (Pty) Ltd

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Introduction4
1.1	Purpose of the comments consolidation report4
2	Summary of comments from I&APS5
2.1	Introduction5
2.2	Key feedback on issues of concern5
3 4	Draft scoping report - comments and responses6 Acknowledgements
LIS	T OF TABLES
	e 1 – Comments and feedback from the scoping report public review period received from Morkel:6
	e 2 - Comments and feedback from the scoping report public review period received
fron	Johannes Van De Merwe7

ABBREVIATIONS

ABBREVIATIONS	DESCRIPTION
EAP	environmental assessment practitioner
ECC	Environmental Compliance Consultancy
EIA	environmental impact assessment
EMA	Environmental Management Act, No.7 of 2007
EMP	environmental management plan
ESIA	environmental and social impact assessment
I&APs	interested and affected parties
km	kilometre
m	metre
MEFT	Ministry of Environment, Forestry and Tourism
ML	mining licence
MLA	mining licence area
MME	Ministry of Mines and Energy



Karas Lithium Resources (Pty) Ltd

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose of the comments consolidation report

This document has been compiled following the required period of review to be provided for public and registered interested and affected parties (I&APs) to have access to and opportunity to comment in writing on the draft scoping report for the proposed exploration activities on EPL 7574, //Kharas Region, Namibia (the Project) before submission to the Environmental Commissioner.

The draft scoping report was completed for the Project and undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Management Act, 2007 (Act No. 7 of 2007) and the Environmental Impact Assessment Regulation, 2007 (No. 30 of 2011) gazetted under the Environmental Management Act (EMA), 2007 (Act No. 7 of 2007).

Environmental Compliance Consultancy (ECC) prepared the scoping report, which was provided to the public and registered I&APs for review for 7 days from 31st July 2023 -7th August 2023.

This document compiles all comments received during the public review period; presents the responses from ECC as the appointed environmental assessment practitioner (EAP) for the project, the Proponent and specialists engaged in the assessment.



Karas Lithium Resources (Pty) Ltd

2 SUMMARY OF COMMENTS FROM I&APS

2.1 Introduction

In accordance with the Regulations of the EMA 2007, on the 31st of July 2023, the scoping report was circulated electronically to all registered interested and affected parties (I&APs) and identified key stakeholders. Submissions received from 1 individual was collated in a separate "Comments and Responses" table that is presented in Table 1 and Table 2. Responses have been provided to all comments received.

2.2 KEY FEEDBACK ON ISSUES OF CONCERN

The scoping report was provided to all I&APs, identified stakeholders and made publicly available on ECC's website. This public review period is set out to solicit comments, and feedback, and allow genuine participation in the final phase of the ESIA process. Comments were received from Pete Morkel. The key area raised from the review of his comment can be summarised as follows:

Security

Concerns were raised about the security of livestock and farm owners. It was stressed that the increased presence of exploration workers on site may lead to poaching of endangered wildlife and flora. It was suggested that a security company be hired to control the movement of people on site, while also requiring the proponent to keep a register of work done, people involved, vehicle movements, problems, incidents to prevent theft and security issues. However, brining on a security company would further increase the number of people on site and would increase security risks and the risk of poaching. The EMP has included measures to ensure the security of farm owners and prevent poaching. Further security measures will be included in the access agreement.

Identification of sensitive targets

Concerns were raised that the proposed sampling sites would negatively impact sensitive areas with protected flora specifically on rocky outcrops and ridges and that sampling. A biodiversity study will be conducted to identify sensitive areas and thereafter the Proponent may revise the locations of their soil sampling sites. Various mitigation measures have also been included in the impact assessment report and EMP to prevent damaging or negative impacts on any sensitive habitats or vegetation found on site.



3 DRAFT SCOPING REPORT - COMMENTS AND RESPONSES

Table 1 - Comments and feedback from the scoping report public review period received from Pete Morkel:

Comment	EAP/Proponent Response
Because it's impossible to ensure toilet facilities are used at all	Although it has been reported that no evidence was found of <i>Taenia</i>
times, we expect all proponent staff involved with on-site	saginata in Namibia (Epidemiology of Taenia saginata taeniosis/
prospecting work be dewormed. The beef tapeworm (Taenia	cysticercosis: a systematic review of the distribution in southern
saginata) is a huge problem in Namibia and when people defecate	and eastern Africa Veronique Dermauw et. al, 2018 (https://d-
in the veld game becomes contaminated and their meat is worthless	nb.info/1174185864/34), precautions can be taken if there are
and this is a costly problem we can not afford.	cattle in the prospecting area, including the requirement for all on
	site staff to be regularly (annually) dewormed.
Since first being informed about the EPL and the associated	Given the limited number of staff envisioned during the
activities the security situation in this part of Namibia has	prospecting phase, it may not be necessary to add additional
significantly deteriorated and this includes the armed robbery of	impact through the provision of permanent security staff, however,
isolated farmsteads. Prospecting will markedly increase our security	access control procedures and security standard standards will be
risk and the proponent must actively help us in this regard and we	developed and implemented. The additional prospecting staff on
envisage a security company might need to be brought in to assist	site should be able to assist in identifying trespassers. A dual lock
with the movement control of people, vehicles and goods, guarding,	system can be utilised to ensure that each party is responsible for
patrolling etc. The senior staff supervising the prospecting will also	its own access keys. Security measures to prevent theft and
need keys for our various gates and must be responsible for looking	poaching have also been included in the EMP under section 2.5, p.
after the keys and ensuring that the gates are kept locked at all	17.
times. Of course improved security will also greatly help with the	
potential poaching of fauna and flora on our properties related to	
prospecting work.	
It is essential that a register is kept by the prospecting supervisor	This can readily be incorporated into the access control procedure
with details of work done, people involved, vehicle movements,	to be developed prior to prospecting. A communication protocol

ECC Report №: ECC-139-449-REP-18-D



Karas Lithium Resources (Pty) Ltd

Comment	EAP/Proponent Response
problems, incidents etc. It must be kept on-site and updated	should also be established between the prospecting site supervisor
everyday and available for scrutiny by landowners and their	and the landowners to ensure all parties are kept up to date on
appointed subordinates. Such a register is essential for good	movement of people, equipment, material and vehicles. This has
security and to ensure fair compensation for landowners and to	also been included in the EMP under section 2.5, p. 15.
help prevent disputes between the various parties.	

Table 2 - Comments and feedback from the scoping report public review period received from Johannes Van De Merwe

Comment	EAP/Proponent Response
Not really a option due to the height difference. Refer to section	Water will most likely be imported and transported by tractor
4.7 water supply in the scoping report.	and/or trailers. This has been included in the scoping report.
Can we request that an ID copy of all workers be submitted to	This will be included in the land access agreement.
landowner. Refer to section 2.4 of the EMP.	
Will provided certified ID copies of all staff on the ground to	Comment noted.
landowner. Refer to section 4.8 workers and accommodation in	
the scoping report.	
Bring own firewood. Not allowed to collect here. Refer to section	This has been included in the EMP under section 7.4, table 5.
4.8 workers and accommodation in the scoping report.	
Dreihuk Dam is an extremely unsuccessful dam. No water is	Comment noted, this was included merely for baseline purposes.
pumped out of the dam only from boreholes drilled near the dam	
wall. The dams water is purely there to recharge the boreholes.	
Get landowner permission or supervision. Refer to Table 7 under	This will be included in the land access agreement.
section 6 of the scoping report, regarding the removal of some	
vegetation and temporary campsites near the drill sites.	

ECC Report №: ECC-139-449-REP-18-D



Karas Lithium Resources (Pty) Ltd

EAP/Proponent Response Comment I would suggest a more delineated approach to the target Comment noted. Provision of this has been outlined in the scoping sampling points. report in Section 5.5.1 pg 42. These stream sampling sites have also been mapped and included in section 5.5.1. Issues around poaching and disturbance of animals have been included in the You know of the sensitivity of the vegetation as well as the current conservation that is going on here. EMP in section 2.5 Table 2 and section 7.4 table 5.5. Further to this I would suggest reviewing all the sampling points in relation to areas a biodiversity study will be conducted to identify sensitive areas that can be problematic for example: and thereafter the Proponent may revise the locations of their soil Target 3 is presumably located 300m away from what looks to be a sampling sites. quartz ridge, we know most of the sensitive vegetation is located on quart koppies/ridges (only an example) And by doing this you could easily identify lets say 3 or 4 sensitive targets that can be visited along with 2 not sensitive and 2 or 3 river streams to be sampled. I am not saying that you need to visit every sampling point however that would have been the best. But by going through this process you can easily identify points that would be best not to do the sampling and rather suggest less sensitive targets for the sampling. This would show the landowner your genuine concern to the environment as well as your capability as an environmentalist. All of this can be nicely set out on a map that shows the targets and sensitive areas and indicating what areas to avoid. Another good example will be stream samples that are located near a natural surface water body or animal dug hole with water (we have a few now from the winter's rains). These are some of the only

ECC Report Nº: ECC-139-449-REP-18-D



Karas Lithium Resources (Pty) Ltd

Comment	EAP/Proponent Response
drinking spots for animals and will make the animals vulnerable for poaching when the teams are there doing their sampling. I would suggest these places be identified beforehand as well as the sampling locations moved, however this can only be done by visiting the sites.	
Because I am very sure that a few of these points are located in a sensitive environment that would be best just to leave or move rather than to relocate plants or scare off animals.	



Karas Lithium Resources (Pty) Ltd

4 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Through the ESIA process, the Proponent and ECC have endeavoured to provide a platform to hear and address all relevant comments put forward by I&APs. ECC would like to thank the I&APs and stakeholders for providing feedback during the scoping phase of the ESIA process. We acknowledge and appreciate the time required to review these documents and ECC genuinely appreciate the input provided by I&APS. ECC acknowledges that constructive feedback results in an improved ESIA and a project that is understood by the community and I&APs.