



Blast Management & Consulting



Quality Service on Time

Report: Blast Impact Assessment at New bulk sampling, sorting, and testing facility Stage II expansion at Afritin Mine, Uis on ML 134 Project		
Report Date:	07 September 2022	
BM&C Ref No:	BMC_ECC_Afritin Mine Uis_EIARReportAddendum_220907	
Client Ref No:	ECC 84-284	
Document Authorised:	JD Zeeman	

i. Document Prepared and Authorised by:

JD Zeeman
 Blast Management & Consulting (2015/061002/07)
 61 Sovereign Drive
 Route 21 Corporate Park
 Irene
 South Africa

PO Box 61538
 Pierre van Ryneveld
 Centurion
 0045

Cell: +27 82 854 2725 Tel: +27 (0)12 345 1445 Fax: +27 (0)12 345 1443

ii. Document Control:


Name & Company	Responsibility	Action	Date	Signature
JD Zeeman Blast Management & Consulting	Consultant	Addendum to EIA Report	07/09/2022	

Table of Contents

1	Executive Summary	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2	Introduction	4
3	Impact Evaluation	5
3.1	Ground Vibration:.....	5
3.2	Air blast:	6
3.3	Fly Rock:	6
4	Conclusion	7
5	References	8

List of Figures

Figure 1: Location of new infrastructure	4
Figure 2: Zoomed area of infrastructure	5
Figure 3: Ground Vibration levels.....	6
Figure 4: Fly rock range	7

1 Introduction

Additional infrastructure at AfriTin Mining Limited (“AfriTin”) was evaluated for possible impact. The additional infrastructure consists of a bulk sampling, sorting, and testing facility. The planned new infrastructure was placed on plan and reviewed if blasting could have influence. The location of new infrastructure is illustrated in Figure 1.




Figure 1: Location of new infrastructure

Figure 2 shows zoomed area of the infrastructure.




Figure 2: Zoomed area of infrastructure

2 Impact Evaluation

2.1 Ground Vibration:

Review of the location of the new infrastructure from the pit area it is expected that ground vibration levels from maximum charge is in the order of 12.5 mm/s.

These levels are well within accepted norms for this type of infrastructure. No negative influence is expected from ground vibration due to blasting operations. It must be noted that blasting operations further away from the new infrastructure will yield lower levels at this plant.

The following figure shows the modelled ground vibration levels in relation to the new plant.




Figure 3: Ground Vibration levels

2.2 Air blast:

Air blast was not considered. Air blast is not expected to have any influence on this type of plant.

2.3 Fly Rock:

The expected fly rock range is 388 m. The new infrastructure is located within this range at 214 m. The plant will be within range of possible fly rock when blasting is done in this northern section of the mine. Adjustments can be made to the stemming lengths and blast hole diameters to ensure better control on fly rock. The following figure shows the location of the plant in relation with the pit area and fly rock range indicated.




Figure 4: Fly rock range

3 Conclusion

The planned new plant (bulk sampling, sorting, and testing facility) was evaluated for possible influence from blasting operations with regards to ground vibration, air blast and fly rock. Ground vibration expected at the plant is well within limits with no specific negative influence expected. Air blast does not have any significant influence of these type of structures. The location of the plant is within range from possible fly rock. Blasting in the northern section of the mine will require some mitigation or changes to blast designs to ensure damage is not induced to the plant.

4 References

1. BME Training Module – Vibration, air blast and fly rock, Module V, Dated 5 August 2001.
2. Chiapetta F., Van Vreden A., 2000. Vibration/Air blast Controls, Damage Criteria, Record Keeping and Dealing with Complaints. 9th Annual BME Conference on Explosives, Drilling and Blasting Technology, CSIR Conference Centre, Pretoria, 2000.
3. Dowding C.H., Construction Vibrations, 1996, Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ 07458.
4. ISEE The Blasters' Handbook, 18th Edition, Cleveland, Ohio: International Society of Explosives Engineers; 2011.
5. Mechanical vibration and shock – Vibration of buildings – Guidelines for the measurement and evaluation of their effects on buildings, SABS ISO 4886:1990.
6. Oriard, L.L., 1999, The Effects of Vibration and Environmental Forces: A guide for Investigation of Structures, International Society of Explosives Engineers, Cleveland, Ohio, USA.
7. Persson P.A., Holmberg R., and Lee J., 1994, Rock Blasting and Explosives Engineering, Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press.
8. Richards A. B., Moore A.J., Terrock Consulting Engineers Pty Ltd., 2002, Fly rock Control – By Chance or Design, Paper Presented at ISEE Conference – New Orleans.
9. Rowland, J.H.(III), Mainiero R., and Hurd D.A.(Jr.), Factors Affecting Fumes Production of an Emulsion and Anfo/Emulsion Blends.
10. Sapko M., Rowland J., Mainiero R., Zlochower I., Chemical and Physical Factors that Influence no Production during Blasting – Exploratory Study.
11. Scott A., Open Pit Blast Design, 1996, Julius Kruttschnitt Mineral Research Centre, The University of Queensland.
12. Siskind D.E., Stachura V.J., Stagg M.S. and Kopp J.W., 1980. Structure Response and Damage Produced by Air Blast from Surface Mining. US Bureau of Mines RI 8485.